

UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA Form 1.3.2. Syllabus

Course	Victorian Literature								Y	Year 2021/2022		2021/2022				
Study programme	Undergraduate Study of English Language and Literature English Department									CTS	rs 4					
Department	Eng	lish D	epa	rtme	nt	t										
Level of study programme	⊠Un	dergra	duate	e	☐Graduate ☐Integ				ntegrated	rated Postgrad			duate			
Type of study programme	□Single major ⊠Double major				□University □Pro				Professiona	fessional			□Specialized			
Year of study	□ 1.				<u> </u>	$\boxtimes 2$. 🗆 3.			3.			4.	□ 5.		
Semester	⊠Summer □ □Winter							II.				⊠V.			□ V.	
S CAMESTO I	□ w mer			[□ VI.			VII		□VI	□ VIII.		\square IX.		\square X.	
Status of the course	Compulsory				□E □Elective							Feaching Competencies		□ YES ⊠NO		
Workload	1	L	2	S	0	Е			Inter	net source	es for	e-learı	earning		⊠YES □ NO	
Location and time of instruction		Cla	assro	om 1	43			Language(s) in which the co				the cou	course is taught		English	
Course start date	March 3 rd , 20)22	22					Cor	Course end date		June 9 th , 2022		
Enrolment requirements	Students have to be e					enrolled in the fourth semester										
Course coordinator					ssist	ant Pr	ofessor									
E-mail	vukic@unizd.hr									Consultation hours				-		
Course instructor		lia Mu														
E-mail	emu	sap@u	nizo	<u>l.hr</u>							Consultation hours				-	
Assistant/Associate										1 ~						
E-mail										Consultation hours						
Assistant/Associate E-mail										Cor	Consultation					
E-man								hours								
	1															
⊠Lectures					Seminars and workshops □Exercise				cises	s □E-learnin			□Field work			
Mode of teaching	□Individual assignments				☐Multimedia ☐Labora				oratory	ory		ng □Other		Other		
Learning outcomes										the course						
			- be able to critically analyze selected works in the context of chosen theories and theoretical texts													
				- be able to recognize and understand the cultural, social and political contexts												
					witl	hin wh	ich the s	ele	cted v	works were	creat	ed				
				- be able to recognize the key features of the literature of British Romanticism - be able to critically discuss selected works and share their insights with other												
				students												
Learning outcomes at the Programme				After they have completed the course students should:												
level					- id	entify	and desc	crib	e rele	vant ideas	and c	oncepts	3			



UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA

		connect different approaches and sources of knowledge through an interdisciplinary approach							
		- apply a critical and self-critical approach in argumentation							
		11.0	11						
	5701	⊠Preparation		⊠Continu (ous				
	⊠Class attendance	for class	□Homework	evaluation		□Research			
Assessment criteria		☐Experimental		□D:4		П С:			
110000000000000000000000000000000000000	□Practical work	work	□Presentation	□Project		☐ Seminar			
	⊠Test(s)	⊠Written exam	□Oral exam	□Other:	•				
Conditions for									
permission to take the exam	Attending at least 809	% of lectures							
Exam periods			₩		⊠Autum	n			
	□ Winter		⊠Summer Sa ha announced						
Exam dates		J	Γo be announced		To be announced				
Course description	The course will analyze some of the most significant writers of the Victorian literary canon wh								
	works will be consid								
	Particular attention videvelopment of scien								
	of the canonical nove								
	it will provide an in-								
	seminal Satis House								
	subsequent positioning. Therefore, it will als								
	canon. The course will end with "atypical" examples of Victorian prose that mark the transition toward literary modernism. Drawing inspiration from Oscar Wilde's vibrant literary legacy, the								
	second section will a								
	dominant genres of t								
	fiction. Consequently, the course will also analyze authors such as H.G. Wells, drawing attention to								
	the importance of Darwinism, and Lewis Carroll, providing the students a psychoanalytic approach to analyzing "Wonderland."								
Course content	1. Introductory Lectu	re – Course Overvie	ew (March 3rd)						
			'It Was the Best o	f Times; it	t Was the	Worst of Times;"			
		; The Novel (March 10 th)							
	3. The Ideology of Separate Spheres; The Angel in the House or the Madwoman in the Attic? (Ms								
	17 th)								
	4. Charles Dickens's <i>Great Expectations</i> (1861); The Critique of the Victorian <i>Bildungsroman</i> (March 24 th)								
	(March 24 ^{str}) 5. Charles Dickens's <i>Great Expectations</i> (1861); Satis House as a Gothic Setting; The Contaminated								
	Mind – Miss Havisham's Madness (March 31st)								
	6. The Brontë Sisters I – Charlotte Brontë's <i>Jane Eyre</i> (1847); The Position of Women During								
	Victorian England; The Rise of the Archetypal Madwoman in the Attic (April 7 th)								
	7. Easter Holidays (April 14 th)								
	8. The Brontë Sisters II – Emily Brontë's <i>Wuthering Heights</i> (1847); Elements of the Gothic Genre								
	(April 21 st)								
	9. Mid-term Exam (April 28 th)								
	Nonsense Literatur								
	11. Finding Wonderla	•	lytic Approach to	Lewis Car	rroll's <i>Ali</i>	ce's Adventures in			
	Wonderland (1865) (M		1\						
	 Guest Lecturer - I Gender and Sexual 			Estat (Mass	2 cth				
	14. Decadence and Ae	•	•			(Trung 2nd)			
	15. End-term Exam (ilde's The Ficture o	j Dorian G	ray (1890)	(June 2)			
Required reading	,		ations. 1861. Penguir	Books 20	112				
Acquired reading			47. Penguin Books,		14.				
			ats. 1847. Penguin B						



UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA Form 1.3.2. Syllabus

	Mill S chapte		, John. <i>The Subjection</i>	of Women. 186	69. Dover Publ	icatio	ıs, 1997.	(selected	
	• Shi, Donglai. "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland as an Anti-Feminist Text: Historical, Psychoanalytical and Postcolonial Perspectives." <i>Women: A Cultural Review</i> , vol. 27, no. 2,								
	2016, pp. 177-201.								
	Wilde	, Osc	ear. The Picture of Do						
Additional reading			Christine and Margare versity Press, 2006.	t Smith. The Ox	xford Compani	on to t	he Broni	tës.	
			old. The Victorian Nov	vel. Chelsea Ho	use, 2004.				
			ron. Between Women:		esire, and Mar	riage i	in Victor	ian	
			inceton University Pre		984 Cambride	ge Uni	versity I	O _{recc}	
	1987.								
	O'Gorman, Francis. A Concise Companion to the Victorian Novel. Blackwell, 2005. Polyv. Potor. The Cambridge Companion to Organ Wilde Combridge University Press.								
	Raby, Peter. The Cambridge Companion to Oscar Wilde. Cambridge University Press, 1997.								
	 Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky. Between Men: English Literature and Male Homosocial Desire. Colombia University Press, 1985. 								
			ombia University Press an. <i>The Wilde Century</i>		e Oscar Wilde an	d the (Dueer Mo	oment.	
	Continu	ıum,	1994.						
	• Thesing 2002.	, Wi	lliam. A Companion to	o the Victorian	Novel. Blackw	ell Pu	blishers l	Ltd,	
		Osca	r. The Importance of I	Being Earnest.	1895. Dover Pı	ıblicat	ions Inc.	., 2002.	
Internet sources	www.victorianw								
	www.bl.uk www.victorianist.wordpress.com								
			arfiction.org (Victoria	n Popular Fictio	on Association)			
			Final exam	only					
Assessment criteria	Æinal writte	n exa	am □Final	oral exam	□Final wri and oral ex		☐Practical work and final exam		
of learning outcomes					□ Seminar			_	
outcomes	□Only	,	☑ est/homework and final exam	□Seminar paper	paper and		ractical vork	□other forms	
			and imalexam						
	test/homework				final exam			IOIIIIS	
Calculation of final	20% - regular at	tenda	ance, reading seminar					TOTHIS	
grade		tenda n	ance, reading seminar					IOTHIS	
	20% - regular at 80% - final exan	tenda n %]						IOTHIS	
grade	20% - regular at 80% - final exan < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 %	tenda n % 1 % 2	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3)					IOTHIS	
grade	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 %	% 1 %	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4)					IOTHIS	
grade Grading scale	20% - regular at 80% - final exant < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 %	% 1 %	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5)	literature, grou				IOTHIS	
grade	20% - regular at 80% - final exant < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evaluar	% 1 %	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5)	literature, grou				IOTHIS	
grade Grading scale Course evaluation	20% - regular at 80% - final exant < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evaluat	tendan % 3 % 9 % 1 % 1 ations	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Un	literature, grou				IOTHIS	
grade Grading scale Course evaluation	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua □ Internal evalu	tendan % 1 % 5 % 6 % 7 % 1 ations	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Un as conducted by the De	literature, grou	p work, active	partici	pation		
grade Grading scale Course evaluation	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua □Student evalua □Internal evalu □Department me	tendan % 1 % 5 % 6 % 7 % 1 ations	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Un	literature, grou	p work, active	partici	pation		
grade Grading scale Course evaluation	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua □Student evalua □Internal evalu □Department me □Other	white tendant with the state of	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Un as conducted by the De a of teaching gs discussing quality of	literature, grou	p work, active	partici	pation		
grade Grading scale Course evaluation	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua Student evalua Internal evalu Department management manage	tenda m % 1 % 9 % 1 with A	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Un as conducted by the De a of teaching gs discussing quality of	literature, group iversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the C	p work, active	partici	pation aluations in Scien	ce and Higher	
Grading scale Course evaluation procedures	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua Student evalua Department macordance w Education, "the	with A students	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Un as conducted by the De a of teaching gs discussing quality of	literature, group iversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the Culfil his/her ob	results of stud	ent ev	pation aluations in Scien	ce and Higher	
Grading scale Course evaluation procedures	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua Student evalua Department macordance we Education, "the academic excelled According to Ar	with A studence, tt. 14	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Units conducted by the Dear of teaching gs discussing quality of Art. 6 of the Code of Art. 6 of the Code of the University of Z	literature, groupliversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the Culfil his/her objectful and free freezadar's Code of	results of stud ommittee for I ligations hones om prejudice.' Ethics, studen	ent evaluation	aluations in Scien	ce and Higher ally, to pursue to "fulfil their	
Grading scale Course evaluation procedures	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua Student evalua Department macordance we Education, "the academic excelled According to Ar responsibilities	with A studence, tt. 14 responses	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Units conducted by the Dear of teaching gs discussing quality of the Code of the Code of the code is expected to fit to be civilized, respect	literature, groupliversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the Calfil his/her objectful and free free free free free free free fre	results of stud ommittee for I ligations hone om prejudice.' Ethics, students	ent evaluation	aluations in Scien ad ethica	ce and Higher ally, to pursue to "fulfil their safeguard the	
Grading scale Course evaluation procedures	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evalua Student evalua Department machine Other In accordance w Education, "the academic excelle According to Ar responsibilities reputation and d whole, to promo	with A studence, tt. 14 resplignit te me	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Units conducted by the Dear of teaching gs discussing quality of the London of the Code of the University of Zonsibly and consciently of all members of the University	literature, groupliversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the Calfil his/her objectful and free free Cadar's Code of thiously. [] the university coues and princip	results of stud ommittee for I ligations hone om prejudice.' Ethics, student Students are ommunity and les. []	ent event extends are coolings the U	in Scienad ethica	ce and Higher ally, to pursue to "fulfil their safeguard the of Zadar as a	
Grading scale Course evaluation procedures	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evaluated in	with A studence, tt. 14 resplignit te me	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Units conducted by the Dear of teaching gs discussing quality of the conducted to find the conducted the University of Zeonsibly and consciently of all members of the Conducted the Conduc	literature, groupliversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the Calfil his/her objectful and free free Cadar's Code of thiously. [] the university coues and princip	results of stud ommittee for I ligations hone om prejudice.' Ethics, student Students are ommunity and les. []	ent event extends are coolings the U	in Scienad ethica	ce and Higher ally, to pursue to "fulfil their safeguard the of Zadar as a	
Grading scale Course evaluation procedures	20% - regular at 80% - final exam < 60 % >= 60 % > 71 % > 81 % > 91 % Student evaluated in	with A studence, t. 14 resplignit te mutting	Failure (1) Satisfactory (2) Good (3) Very good (4) Excellent (5) s conducted by the Units conducted by the Dear of teaching gs discussing quality of the London of the Code of the University of Zonsibly and consciently of all members of the University	iversity epartment of teaching and Ethics of the Collifil his/her objectful and free fradar's Code of intiously. [] the university coues and principle ic honesty is ether than the component of the control of the	results of stud ommittee for I ligations hone om prejudice.' Ethics, studen Students are ommunity and les. [] hically prohibi	ent event extends are coolings the U	aluations in Scien ad ethica expected tted to niversity	ce and Higher ally, to pursue to "fulfil their safeguard the of Zadar as a addes, but is not	



UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA Form 1.3.2. Syllabus

