

UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA Form 1.3.2. *Syllabus*

| Course | Pragmatics | | | | | | | Ye | ar | 2 | 019/2020 | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|------------------------|--|
| Study programme | English Studies | | | | | | | E | CTS | | 4 | | |
| Department | Department of English Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Level of study programme | □Undergraduate ⊠Graduate □Integrated | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of study programme | □Single major ⊠Double major | | | versity | ersity | | | | | | | | |
| Year of study | ⊠ 1. | | ⊠ 2. | | | □ 3. | | | □ 4. |] 4. | | □ 5. | |
| Semester | □Winter ⊠Summer | | I. VI. | | | | \Box III. \Box VIII | | | \boxtimes IV. \Box IX. | | \Box V. \Box X. | |
| Status of the course | Compuls ory | | ective Sective course offered to students from other departments Competencies | | | es | \Box YES \boxtimes NO | | | | | | |
| Workload | 15 L 15 | 5 S | Е | Internet sources for e-learning | | | | | \boxtimes YES \Box NO | | | | |
| Location and time of instruction | Friday, 10- | lay, 10-12 (room 157) Language(s) in | | | | | (s) in wh | which the course is taught | | | | English | |
| Course start date | 28 February 2 | 2020 | | | | | | | Cours | e end o | late | 5 June 2020 | |
| Enrolment requirements | / | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a . ătrac | | • • • | D 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Course coordinator E-mail | Sanja Škifić, Ph.D., Associate Professor | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-mail | sskific@unizd.hr Consultation Monday, 9-10 and Friday, 9-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Course instructor | Sanja Škifić, Ph.D., Associate Professor | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-mail | sskific@unizd.hr Consultation hours | | | | | | on | Mon Frida | 9-10 and 10 | | | | |
| Assistant/Associate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-mail | Consultation hours | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assistant/Associate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-mail | | Consultation hours | | | | | on | | | | | | |
| | ⊠Lectures | Σ | Semina | rs | ΠEx | ercise | s | DE- | learning | 7 | ΠF | ield work | |
| Mode of teaching | □ Individual assignments | | Multim | edia | | aborato | ~ | _ | Ũ | | | Other | |
| Learning outcomes | | a b c k L B p -(-{- s s s s -(p p -(-(p p -(-(-() p) -() -() -() -() -() -() -() -() -() -(| The course develops the following generic competences: a) instrumental: cognitive, understanding ideas and concepts b) interpersonal: critical argumentation, criticism and self-criticism c) systematic: individual work, associating different approaches and sources of knowledge, interdisciplinarity Learning outcomes: By the end of the course students will acquire basic knowledge in the field of pragmatics and will be able to: -define and differentiate between basic concepts in the field of pragmatics -analyze the relationship between pragmatics, syntax, semantics and sociolinguistics -compare and analyze relevant theoretical frameworks of pragmatics and the possibility of their application -describe cultural differences that arise in the interpretation of basic concepts in pragmatics -define areas of discourse analysis in relation to the framework of pragmatics -apply the acquired knowledge to the analysis of various instances of linguistic | | | | | | | | | | |



UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA Form 1.3.2. *Syllabus*

| | | usage and context of linguistic usage | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | -critically argue the results of pragmalinguistic analysis of linguistic usage | | | | | | | | |
| Learning outcomes at the Programme | | - identify and describe the relevant ideas and concepts | | | | | | | | |
| level | | - connect different approaches and knowledge frameworks through | | | | | | | | |
| | | interdisciplinary approach | | | | | | | | |
| | | -apply a critical and self-critical approach in argumentation - interpret the relationship between language and extralinguistic (sociocultural) | | | | | | | | |
| | | factors and contexts | | | | | | | | |
| | | - interpret language as a form of human behaviour, as well as linguistic and | | | | | | | | |
| | extralinguistic factors that have an effect on successful communication | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ⊠Class | | | □Continu | ous | | | | | |
| | attendance | □Preparation for class | Homework | evaluation | | Research | | | | |
| Assessment criteria | | Experimental | | | | | | | | |
| | □Practical work | work | ⊠Presentation | | | 🛛 Seminar | | | | |
| | \boxtimes Test(s) | ⊠Written exam | \Box Oral exam \Box Other: | | | | | | | |
| Conditions for | | ninimum of 70%) an | d seminar paper. | | | | | | | |
| permission to take | | | seminar paper on a t | opic related | to an as | pect of pragmatics, | | | | |
| the exam | | | hould propose the to | | | | | | | |
| | | | t literature for writi | | | | | | | |
| | | | ghout the paper. The | first version | of the p | aper should be sent | | | | |
| Terrer and a la | | f the 13th week of cla | | | | | | | | |
| Exam periods | □Winter | | Summer | | ⊠Autumn | | | | | |
| Exam dates | | | 1. 8 June 2020 | | | 1.2 September 2020 | | | | |
| | | | 2. 24 June 2020 | | 2. 16 September 2020 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2. 10 September 2020 | | | | | |
| Course description | The basic goal of the course is to introduce students to the basic concepts and theoretical frameworks of pragmatics within which language and language use are interpreted from the | | | | | | | | | |
| | viewpoint of human behaviour. Thus, the aims of the course include developing students' critical | | | | | | | | | |
| | thinking towards relevant theoretical frameworks and methodological procedures from the | | | | | | | | | |
| | viewpoint of speech acts and other forms, principles and consequences of communication interaction. Special attention is paid to similarities and differences between relevant areas of | | | | | | | | | |
| | interaction. Special attention is paid to similarities and differences between relevant areas of pragmatic investigation and other related linguistic disciplines, primarily syntax, semantics and | | | | | | | | | |
| | sociolinguistics. This allows for a clearer insight into the interrelatedness of language structure, | | | | | | | | | |
| | meaning dimension and extralinguistic factors that contribute to successful communication. | | | | | | | | | |
| Course content | Lectures: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Introduction: defining the concept of pragmatics; history of pragmatics; American and European | | | | | | | | | |
| | approaches to pragmatics | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Relationship between pragmatics, syntax, semantics and sociolinguistics | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Linguistic usage as a form of human behaviour – basic areas of pragmatic analysis and basic | | | | | | | | | |
| | concepts in the analysis of linguistic usage 4. Information structure and conversational style – structure of monologues and dialogues, cohesion | | | | | | | | | |
| | and coherence, turn-taking, adjacency pairs | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. Reference and deixis – defining the concept and categories | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6. Politeness and face | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7. Mid-term test | | | | | | | | | |
| | 8. Conversational implicatures – Grice's theory, cooperative principle and maxims, conventional | | | | | | | | | |
| | implicatures | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9. Neo-Gricean theory and Relevance theory 10. Presuppositions/inferences – cultural differences | | | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Speech acts – Austin and Searle; felicity conditions | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12. Speech acts and context | | | | | | | | | |
| | 13. Discourse representation | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14. Discourse analysis – culture and schemata | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15. End-term test | | | | | | | | | |
| | Seminars follow the topics covered in lectures. | | | | | | | | | |
| Required reading | 1. Birner, Betty J. (2013). Introduction to Pragmatics. Oxford: Willey-Blackwell. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Huang, Yan (2007). Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press. | | | | | | | | | |



UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR UNIVERSITAS STUDIORUM IADERTINA Form 1.3.2. Syllabus

3. Yule, George (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Additional reading 1. Austin, J. L. (1962). How to Do Things with Words. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2. Davis, Steven (1991). Pragmatics: A Reader. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 3. Ivanetić, N. (1994). Govorni činovi. Zavod za lingvistiku, Zagreb. 4. Levinson, Steven C. (1983). Pragmatics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 5. Searle, John R. (1969). Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Internet sources Final exam only □ Final written Practical work □Final written exam □Final oral exam and oral exam and final exam ⊠ Seminar Assessment criteria paper and of learning final outcomes □ Only □ Test/homework Seminar □ Practic □other exam/midtest/homework and final exam paper al work forms term and end-term tests Calculation of final Students who pass both the mid-term and the end-term tests do not have to take the final written grade exam. Their final grade represents a combination of results obtained on the mid-term and the endterm tests, and the results obtained from writing and presenting the seminar paper (mid-term test -40%; end-term test - 40%, writing and presentation of seminar paper - 20%). Students who do not pass both the mid-term and end-term exams have to take the final written exam. Their final grade represents a combination of the results obtained from the final written exam (80%) and results obtained from writing and presenting the seminar paper (20%). Grading scale 0%-59% % Failure (1) 60%-69% % Satisfactory (2) 70%-79% % Good (3) 80%-89% % Very good (4) 90%-100% % Excellent (5)**Course evaluation** Student evaluations conducted by the University procedures Student evaluations conducted by the Department □ Internal evaluation of teaching Department meetings discussing quality of teaching and results of student evaluations Other Note /Other In accordance with Art. 6 of the Code of Ethics of the Committee for Ethics in Science and Higher Education, "the student is expected to fulfil his/her obligations honestly and ethically, to pursue academic excellence, to be civilized, respectful and free from prejudice." According to Art. 14 of the University of Zadar's Code of Ethics, students are expected to "fulfil their responsibilities responsibly and conscientiously. [...] Students are obligated to safeguard the reputation and dignity of all members of the university community and the University of Zadar as a whole, to promote moral and academic values and principles. [...] Any act constituting a violation of academic honesty is ethically prohibited. This includes, but is not limited to: - various forms of fraud such as the use or possession of books, notes, data, electronic gadgets or other aids during examinations, except when permitted; -various forms of forgery such as the use or possession of unauthorised materials during the exam; impersonation and attendance at exams on behalf of other students; fraudulent study documents; forgery of signatures and grades; falsifying exam results." All forms of unethical behaviour will result in a negative grade in the course without the possibility of compensation or repair. In case of serious violations the Rulebook on Disciplinary Responsibility of Students at the University of Zadar will be applied. In electronic communications only messages coming from known addresses with a first and a last name, and which are written in the Croatian standard and appropriate academic style, will be responded to. This course uses the Merlin system for e-learning, so students are required to have an AAI account.