# Syllabus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Pragmatics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study programme</td>
<td>English Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>ECTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Department of English Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of study programme</td>
<td>☑ Undergraduate  ☑ Graduate  ☐ Integrated  ☐ Postgraduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of study programme</td>
<td>☑ Single major  ☒ Double major  ☐ University  ☐ Professional  ☐ Specialized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of study</td>
<td>☑ 1.  ☒ 2.  ☐ 3.  ☐ 4.  ☐ 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semester</td>
<td>☑ Winter  ☒ Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ VI.  ☑ VII.  ☑ VIII.  ☐ IX.  ☐ X.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of the course</td>
<td>☑ Compulsory  ☐ Elective  ☐ Elective course offered to students from other departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Competencies</td>
<td>☐ YES  ☒ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>15 L 15 S 15 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet sources for e-learning</td>
<td>☒ YES  ☐ NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location and time of instruction</td>
<td>Friday, 10-12 (room 157)  Language(s) in which the course is taught  English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course start date</td>
<td>4 February 2022  Course end date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolment requirements</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Course coordinator
Sanja Škifić, Ph.D., Associate Professor
E-mail ssksfic@unizd.hr  Consultation hours  Friday, 9-10 or by appointment via e-mail

## Course instructor
Sanja Škifić, Ph.D., Associate Professor
E-mail ssksfic@unizd.hr  Consultation hours  Friday, 9-10 or by appointment via e-mail

## Assistant/Associate
E-mail  Consultation hours

## Mode of teaching
☑ Lectures  ☐ Seminars  ☐ Exercises  ☐ E-learning  ☐ Field work
☐ Individual assignments  ☐ Multimedia and network  ☐ Laboratory  ☐ Mentoring  ☐ Other

## Learning outcomes
The course develops the following generic competences:
- a) instrumental: cognitive, understanding ideas and concepts
- b) interpersonal: critical argumentation, criticism and self-criticism
- c) systematic: individual work, associating different approaches and sources of knowledge, interdisciplinarity

### Learning outcomes:
By the end of the course students will acquire basic knowledge in the field of pragmatics and will be able to:
- define and differentiate between basic concepts in the field of pragmatics
- analyze the relationship between pragmatics, syntax, semantics and sociolinguistics
- compare and analyze relevant theoretical frameworks of pragmatics and the possibility of their application
- describe cultural differences that arise in the interpretation of basic concepts in pragmatics
- define areas of discourse analysis in relation to the framework of pragmatics
Learning outcomes at the Programme level

- apply the acquired knowledge to the analysis of various instances of linguistic usage and context of linguistic usage
- critically argue the results of pragmalinguistic analysis of linguistic usage
- identify and describe the relevant ideas and concepts
- connect different approaches and knowledge frameworks through interdisciplinary approach
- apply a critical and self-critical approach in argumentation
- interpret the relationship between language and extralinguistic (sociocultural) factors and contexts
- interpret language as a form of human behaviour, as well as linguistic and extralinguistic factors that have an effect on successful communication

Assessment criteria

- Class attendance
- Preparation for class
- Homework
- Continuous evaluation
- Research
- Practical work
- Experimental work
- Presentation
- Project
- Seminar
- Test(s)
- Written exam
- Oral exam
- Other:

Conditions for permission to take the exam

Class attendance (minimum of 70%) and seminar paper.

Note: Students are required to write a seminar paper on a topic related to an aspect of pragmatics, 2000-2500 words in length. Students should propose the topic of the paper (during office hours or via e-mail), find and read the relevant literature for writing the seminar paper. Students should follow the APA referencing style throughout the paper. The first version of the paper should be sent by the beginning of the 13th week of class.

Exam periods

- Winter
- Summer
- Autumn

Exam dates

to be announced later

Course description

The basic goal of the course is to introduce students to the basic concepts and theoretical frameworks of pragmatics within which language and language use are interpreted from the viewpoint of human behaviour. Thus, the aims of the course include developing students’ critical thinking towards relevant theoretical frameworks and methodological procedures from the viewpoint of speech acts and other forms, principles and consequences of communication interaction. Special attention is paid to similarities and differences between relevant areas of pragmatic investigation and other related linguistic disciplines, primarily syntax, semantics and sociolinguistics. This allows for a clearer insight into the interrelatedness of language structure, meaning dimension and extralinguistic factors that contribute to successful communication.

Course content

Lectures:

1. Introduction: defining the concept of pragmatics; history of pragmatics; American and European approaches to pragmatics
2. Relationship between pragmatics, syntax, semantics and sociolinguistics
3. Linguistic usage as a form of human behaviour – basic areas of pragmatic analysis and basic concepts in the analysis of linguistic usage
4. Information structure and conversational style – structure of monologues and dialogues, cohesion and coherence, turn-taking, adjacency pairs
5. Reference and deixis – defining the concept and categories
6. Politeness and face
7. Mid-term test
8. Conversational implicatures – Grice's theory, cooperative principle and maxims, conventional implicatures
9. Neo-Gricean theory and Relevance theory
10. Presuppositions/inferences – cultural differences
11. Speech acts – Austin and Searle; felicity conditions
12. Speech acts and context
13. Discourse representation
14. Discourse analysis – culture and schemata
15. End-term test

Seminars follow the topics covered in lectures.

Required reading


### Additional reading

### Internet sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment criteria of learning outcomes</th>
<th>Final exam only</th>
<th>☐ Final written exam</th>
<th>☐ Final oral exam</th>
<th>☐ Final written and oral exam</th>
<th>☐ Practical work and final exam</th>
<th>☐ Only test/homework</th>
<th>☐ Test/homework and final exam</th>
<th>☐ Seminar paper</th>
<th>☐ Practic al work</th>
<th>☐ other forms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Calculation of final grade
Students who pass both the mid-term and the end-term tests do not have to take the final written exam. Their final grade represents a combination of results obtained on the mid-term and the end-term tests, and the results obtained from writing and presenting the seminar paper (mid-term test - 40%; end-term test - 40%; writing and presentation of seminar paper - 20%). Students who do not pass both the mid-term and end-term exams have to take the final written exam. Their final grade represents a combination of the results obtained from the final written exam (80%) and results obtained from writing and presenting the seminar paper (20%).

### Grading scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%-59%</td>
<td>Failure (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%-69%</td>
<td>Satisfactory (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%-79%</td>
<td>Good (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%-89%</td>
<td>Very good (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%-100%</td>
<td>Excellent (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Course evaluation procedures
- ☒ Student evaluations conducted by the University
- ☐ Student evaluations conducted by the Department
- ☐ Internal evaluation of teaching
- ☐ Department meetings discussing quality of teaching and results of student evaluations
- ☐ Other

### Note /Other
In accordance with Art. 6 of the *Code of Ethics* of the Committee for Ethics in Science and Higher Education, “the student is expected to fulfil his/her obligations honestly and ethically, to pursue academic excellence, to be civilized, respectful and free from prejudice.”

According to Art. 14 of the University of Zadar’s *Code of Ethics*, students are expected to “fulfil their responsibilities responsibly and conscientiously. […] Students are obligated to safeguard the reputation and dignity of all members of the university community and the University of Zadar as a whole, to promote moral and academic values and principles. […] Any act constituting a violation of academic honesty is ethically prohibited. This includes, but is not limited to:
- various forms of fraud such as the use or possession of books, notes, data, electronic gadgets or other aids during examinations, except when permitted;
- various forms of forgery such as the use or possession of unauthorised materials during the exam; impersonation and attendance at exams on behalf of other students; fraudulent study documents; forgery of signatures and grades; falsifying exam results.”

All forms of unethical behaviour will result in a negative grade in the course without the possibility of compensation or repair. In case of serious violations the *Rulebook on Disciplinary Responsibility of Students at the University of Zadar* will be applied.

In electronic communications only messages coming from known addresses with a first and a last name, and which are written in the Croatian standard and appropriate academic style, will be responded to.

This course uses the Merlin system for e-learning, so students are required to have an AAI account.

Due to the epidemiological situation related to COVID-19, certain changes in the syllabus are
possible.